



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
05/973,741	12/28/78	NILSEN	0

DULIN, THIENPONT, POTTHAST & SNYDER  
208 S. LA SALLE, STE. 2060  
CHICAGO, ILL. 60604

EXAMINER	
GRIMM, S	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
252	19

DATE MAILED: 02/16/82

NOTIFICATION RE APPEAL

1. ☐ The Notice of Appeal filed \_\_\_\_\_ is:
- A. ☐ Not acceptable for the reason(s) that:
- (1) ☐ The appeal fee of \$50 required by 35 U.S.C. 41 (a) (6) was not timely filed.
  - (2) ☐ The appeal is not in compliance with Rule 191 in that there is no record of a second or final rejection in this application.
  - (3) ☐ This application is abandoned as the result of applicant's letter of express abandonment filed \_\_\_\_\_.
  - (4) ☐ A letter of allowability was mailed by the Office on \_\_\_\_\_.
- B. ☐ Defective, and should be corrected as indicated. APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER WITHIN WHICH TO MAKE THE NECESSARY CORRECTION(S) TO AVOID DISMISSAL OF THE APPEAL.
- (1) ☐ Unsigned, a ratification, properly signed, is required.
  - (2) ☐ Identification of the appealed claim or claims is required (Rule 191(b) ).
  - (3) ☐
2. ☒ The appeal in this application is DISMISSED because:
- A. ☐ The \$50 fee for filing the Brief as required by 35 U.S.C. 41 (a) (6) was not timely filed.
- B. ☒ The Brief was not filed, or was not timely filed (Rule 192(b) ).
3. ☒ As the result of the dismissal in "2" above, this application:
- A. ☒ Is abandoned since there are no allowed claims.
- B. ☐ Is being returned to the examiner for disposition since it contains allowed claims. The prosecution on the merits is CLOSED.
4. ☐ The Brief filed \_\_\_\_\_ is defective as shown below. APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER WITHIN WHICH TO MAKE THE INDICATED CORRECTION(S) TO AVOID DISMISSAL OF THE APPEAL.
- A. ☐ An argument re the rejection of claims \_\_\_\_\_ is required.
  - B. ☐ The signature on the Brief has been omitted. A ratification properly signed is required.
  - C. ☐ Three copies of the Brief in compliance with Rule 192 (a) are required.
  - D. ☐ A copy of the appealed claims is required.
  - E. ☐
5. ☐ The appeal in this application has been REINSTATED because:
- A. ☐ It was erroneously dismissed.
- B. ☐
6. ☐ In reply to the request of \_\_\_\_\_ the period for filing the Brief is:
- A. ☐ Extended for \_\_\_\_\_ month(s).
- B. ☐ Not extended, in view of applicant's failure to show sufficient cause.

*Siegfried H. Grimm*

SIEGFRIED H. GRIMM  
EXAMINER  
GROUP ART UNIT 252